(1924), 406-12; idem, Ā'īna-yi sikandarī (Tārīkh-i Īrān), Tehran 1906; Abdul-Hadi Hairi, European and Asian influences on the Persian Revolution of 1906. in Asian Affairs, N.S. vi (June 1975), 155-64; idem, The idea of constitutionalism in Persian literature prior to the 1906 Revolution, in Akten des VII. Kongresses für Arabistik und Islamwissenschaft, Göttingen, 15. bis 22. August 1974, Göttingen 1976, 189-207; Firīdūn Ādamiyyat, *Īdeuluzhī-yi* nahdat-i mashrūtiyyat, i, Tehran 1976; idem, Fikri dimukrāsīyi iditimā'ī dar nahdat-i mashrūtiyyat-i Īrān, Tehran 1975; idem, Sih maktūb-i Mīrzā Fath Alī, sih maktūb va sad khatāba-yi Mīrzā Ākā Khān, in Yaghmā, xix (1966), 362-7, 425-8; idem, Andīshahāyi Mīrzā Fatḥ 'Alī Ākhūnd-Zāda, Tehran 1970; M. Mu'īn, Farhang-i fārsī, v, Tehran 1966, under "Āķā <u>Kh</u>ān"; Muḥammad Taķī Malik al-<u>Sh</u>u'arā' Bahār, Sabk-shināsī, iii, Tehran 1958; Ahmad Kasrawī, Ta'rīkh-i mashrūṭa-yi Iran, Tehran 1965; Mahdī Malik-Zāda, Ta'rīkh-i inkilāb-i mashrūtiyyati Īrān, i, Tehran 1949; Nāzim al-Islām Kirmānī, Tā'rīkh-i Bīdāri-yi Īrāniyān, i/1-3, and Muķaddima, Tehran 1967; Nikki R. Keddie, The origins of the religious-radical alliance in Iran, in Past & Present: A Journal of Historical Studies, xxxiv (1966), 70-80; idem, Religion and irreligion in early Iranian nationalism, in Comparative Studies in Society and History, iv/4 (1962), 265-95; idem, Religion and rebellion in Iran: the Tobacco Protest of 1891-1892, London 1966; E.G. Browne, Press and poetry of modern Persia, Cambridge 1914; idem, The Persian Revolution of 1905-1909, Cambridge 1910; idem, Materials for the study of the Bābī religion, Cambridge 1918; Nașr Allāh Fathī, Ta'rīkh-i shānzhmān-i Īrān, kitābī ki muntasab bi Mīrzā Āķā Khān Kirmānī ast . . ., in Nigîn, ii/9 (1967), 33-7; Ismā'īl Rā'īn, Andjumanhā-vi sirrī dar inkilāb-i mashrūtiyyat-i Īrān, Tehran 1966; Khānbābā Mushār, Mu'allifīn-i kutub-i čāpīyi fārsī va Arabī, iii, Tehran 1962, nos. 754-6; Hamid Algar, Mīrzā Malkum Khān: a biographical study of Iranian modernism, Berkeley 1973; Bastanī Pārīzī, Talāsh-i ma'āsh, Tehran 1968; Khān Malik Sāsānī, Siyāsatgarān-i dawra-yi Kādjār, i, Tehran 1959; 'Alī Amīn al-Dawla, Khāţirāt-i siyāsī, Tehran 1962; Muhammad Kazwīnī, Wafayāt-i mu'āsirīn, in Yādgār, iii/10 (1947), 12-25; Sa'īd Nafīsī, Duktur 'Alī Akbar Khān Nafīsī Nāzim al-Atibbā, in Yādgār, 11/4 (1946), 52-60; J. Morier, Sarguzasht-i Hādididī Bābā-yi Isfahānī, tr. Mīrzā Ḥabīb Isfahānī, Calcutta 1924; Mangol Bayat Philipp, The concepts of religion and government in the thought of Mīrzā Āqā Khān Kirmānī, a nineteenth-century Persian revolutionary, in IJMES, v (1974), 381-400; Muhammad Gulbun, Mādjarā-yi katl-i Mīrzā Ākā Khān Kirmānī, Shaykh Aḥmad Rūḥī, va Mīrzā Ḥasan Khān Khābīr al-Mulk, in Yaghmā, xxiv/4 (1971); See also AZADī in Suppl.

(ABDUL-HADI HAIRI)

ĀĶĀ NADJAFĪ, HĀDJDJĪ SHAYKH MUḤAMMAD

TAĶĪ IṣFAHĀNĪ (1845-1931), member of a very powerfully-established clerical family of Iṣfahān and himself an influential and wealthy religious authority in that city. Contrary to some of his clerical contemporaries, such as Mīrzā Ḥasan Shīrāzī and Muḥammad Kāzim Khurāsānī [q.v.], Ākā Nadjafī was not known as being devoted to the welfare and prosperity of the Muslims in general and the Iranians in particular. Rather, he has often been referred to as a grain hoarder, a venal, power-hungry religious leader, a usurper of other people's property, and an unjust judge. After his primary education under his

father, who was also a powerful cleric, he went to Nadjaf and studied fikh and usūl under Shīrāzī and others. After his father's death in 1883, Ākā Nadiafī was widely recognised as a religious leader in Isfahān: he led the prayers in congregation in the Shah mosque, and performed judicial duties at home. Despite the governmental injunction, he went as far as to execute the judgements which he himself passed on civil and criminal cases. Many books on prayers, ethics, fikh and other Islamic subjects have been ascribed to him and were published at his own expense, but it is believed that they were not in reality written by himself (Mahdī Bāmdād, Sharh-i hāl-i ridjāl-i Īrān, iii, Tehran 1968, 327). Since he was a wealthy landowner, he naturally had much in common with the feudal governor of Isfahān, Zill al-Sultān; they often worked together, although at times this co-operation was replaced by hostility, conspiracy, and struggle.

Āķā Nadjafī has been held responsible for two major disorders in Isfahān and Yazd, in which many people were murdered, on the accusations of Bābīsm and irreligiosity: once in 1890 and another time in 1902, both of which resulted in Āķā Nadjafī's banishment to Tehran. He, along with many other people, protested against the Tobacco Concession of 1890 being given to a British company; he also favoured the Persian Constitutional Revolution of 1906. In both cases Āķā Nadjafī appears less as a genuine lover of freedom than as an opportunist who hoped to increase his prestige, wealth, and influence in the light of those national movements. To preserve his power and wealth, Āķā Nadjafī declared as unbelievers, and even at times had murdered, those who opposed him or who were critical of him (Mahdī Malik-Zāda, Ta'rīkh-i inkilāb-i mashrūtīyyat-i Īrān, i, Tehran 1949, 166). Moreover, by 1911, Āķā Na<u>di</u>afī and his sons had made a volte-face and wished "to place their extensive landed property under foreign protection" (Cd. 5656. Persia, No. 1 (1911), G. Barclay, to E. Grey, Feb. 25, 1911, London 1911, CIII, p. 30).

Bibliography: Abdul-Hadi Hairi, Shī'īsm and constitutionalism in Iran: a study of the role played by the Persian residents of Iraq in Iranian politics, Leiden 1977; idem, Why did the Ulamā participate in the Persian revolution of 1905-1909?, in WI, xvii (1976), 127-54; Hasan Djābirī Ansārī, Ta'rīkh-i Isfahān va Ray va hama-yi djahān, Tehran 1943; Āghā Buzurg Ţihrānī, Tabakāt a'lām al-Shī'a, i, Nadjaf 1954; Yaḥyā Dawlatābādī, *Ta'rīkh-i mu'āṣir yā ḥayāt-i Yaḥyā*, i, Tehran 1957; Aḥmad Kasrawī, *Ta'rīkh-i maṣhrūṭa*yi Īrān, Tehran 1965; idem, Ta'rīkh-i hidjdahsāla-yi Ādharbāydjān, Tehran 1961; Nūr Allāh Dānishwar 'Alawī, Ta'rīkh-i mashrūṭa-yi Īrān va djunbish-i waṭanparastān-i Isfahān va Bakhtiyārī, Tehran 1956; Nāzim al-Islām Kirmānī, *Ta'rīkh-i bīdārī-yi Īrāniyān*, Introd., i-ii, Tehran 1967, 1970; Muḥammad Ḥasan Khān I'timād al-Saltana, Rūznāma-yi khātirāt, Tehran 1971; 'Abd al-Şamad Khal'atbarī, Sharḥ-i mukhtasar-i zindigānī-yi sipahsālār-i A'zam Muḥammad Walī Khān Tunukābunī, Tehran 1949; Ahmad Tafrishī Husaynī, Rūznāma-yi akhbār-i mashrūţiyyat va inķilābi Irān, Tehran 1972; anonymous, Ru'yā-yi sādiķa, n.d., n.p.; G.R. Garthwaite, The Bakhtiyārī Khāns, the government of Iran and the British, 1846-1915, in IJMES, iii (1972), 24-44; 'Abbās Mīrzā Mulkārā, Sharh-i hāl, Tehran 1946; 'Abd Allāh Mustawfī, Sharḥ-i zindigānī-yi man, i, Tehran n.d.; Muḥammad ʿAlī Sayyāḥ, Khāṭirāt-i Ḥāḍjḍj Sayyāḥ yā dawra-yi khawf va waḥṣḥat, Tehran 1967; Mahdīkulī Hidāyat, Khātirāt va khatarāt, Tehran 1965; Mas'ūd Mīrzā Zill al-Sulţān, Ta'rīkh-i sarguzasht-i Mas'ūdī, Tehran 1907; Muḥammad Ḥirz al-Dīn, Ma'ārif al-rīdjāl, ii, Nadjaf 1964; 'Alī Wā'iz Khiyābānī, Kitāb-i 'ulamā-'i mu'āṣirīn, Tehran 1946; Muḥammad 'Alī Mudarris, Rayhanat al-adab, i, iii, 1967; Ḥusayn Sa'ādat Nūrī, Zill al-Sulṭān, Tehran 1968; Ḥamid Algar, Religion and state in Iran 1785-1906: the role of the Ulama in the Qajar period, Berkeley 1969; E.G. Browne, The Persian revolution of 1905-1909, Cambridge 1910; Firuz Kazemzadeh, Russia and Britain in Persia, 1864-1914, New Haven 1968; Nikki R. Keddie, Religion and rebellion in Iran: the tobacco protest of 1891-1892, London 1966; A.K.S. Lambton, Persian political societies 1906-11, in St. Antony's Papers, No. 16, London 1963, 41-89.

(ABDUL-HADI HAIRI) AKAGÜNDÜZ, Turkish writer and novelist (1886-1958) whose original name was Ḥusayn 'Awnī. In his writings he used the pen-name Enīs 'Awnī which he later changed to Akagunduz. The son of an army major, he was born in a village of Alasonia, near Salonica, and was educated at the Kuleli military high school and the War College (Mektebi harbiyye), which he left because of ill health, being sent to Paris for treatment where, for three years, he attended the courses of the Academy of Fine Arts and the Faculty of Law. Back in Salonica, he volunteered for the Action Army (Hareket ordusu) which was sent to quell the mutiny of 13 April 1909 (31 Mart wakeasi) in Istanbul. He was active as a journalist until 1919, when, because of his enthusiastic support of the Nationalists in Anatolia, he was arrested by the British and deported to Malta. Freed by the Nationalist government, he settled in Ankara where he combined the functions of a Member of Parliament with his career as a writer. He died in Ankara on 7 November

Akagündüz started his career in Salonica in close relationship with his friend 'Ömer Seyf el-Dīn, as a poet, short story writer and playwright. But he is primarily known as a novelist. Apart from his collection of verse Bozghun ("Débâcle", 1913) and his plays Muhterem kātil ("Respectable assassin", 1914) and Mai yıldırım ("Blue thunderbolt", 1934), he is the author of several volumes of short stories and more than sixty novels, the most famous of which are Dikmen yıldızı, ("The star of Dikmen", 1928); İki süngü arasında ("Between two bayonets", 1929); Üvey ana ("The stepmother", 1933) and Yayla kızı ("The girl of the plateau", 1940). Akagündüz's unsophisticated novels and short stories, written in an unpolished style with no claim to literary value, which were immensely popular in the 20s and early 30s, treat, with a certain element of realism, mainly of sentimental or tragic themes among ordinary people.

Bibliography: Yeni yayınlar, February 1960 (complete list of works; Behçet Necatiğil, Edebiyatımızda isimler sözlüğüⁿ, Istanbul 1975.

(Fahir Iz)

'AĶĀR (A.), a legal term denoting "immovable property", such as houses, shops and land, as opposed to māl mankūl ("movable property"). As such, 'akār is identical with "realty" or "real property". All property which is 'akār is non-fungible (kīmī), but the two terms are not co-extensive, since animals, furniture, etc. are kīmī, although they do not con-

The owner of 'akār is deemed also to be the owner of anything on it, over it or under it, to any height or depth, so that ownership of land includes ownership of minerals beneath it and buildings and plants

stitute 'aķār.

on it. Like personalty, realty may be held in joint ownership in Islamic law, without the shares being allocated ($mu\underline{s}h\bar{a}^{n}$). As regards ownership of the foreshore and new land formed by natural processes, this is vested in the state in modern Islamic countries.

Bibliography: Mustafa Ahmad al-Zarkā', al-Fikh al-islāmī fī thawbih al-djadīd, Damascus 1968, and bibliography there cited; for examples of how items of 'akār are described and defined in legal documents, see R.Y. Ebied and M.J.L. Young, Some Arabic legal documents of the Ottoman period, Leiden 1976.

(R.Y. EBIED and M.J.L. YOUNG) AKBAR B. AWRANGZĪB, Mughal prince. His mother dying when he was an infant, he was very affectionately brought up by Awrangzīb [q.v.]. In 1090/1679 he was deputed to lead an army against the Rathors, and after initially taking a vigorous part in the operations, he was won over to their side by the rebels. His own reasons for his defection are given in a letter to Awrangzīb in 1092/1681, where he criticises his father's hostility to the Rādiputs. However, his attempt at a surprise attack on his father at Adimer failed, and he had to flee, first to Shambhadji, the Marāthā ruler (1680-9), and then to Persia; where he died in 1116/1704; until his death, Awrangzīb continued to feel some anxiety of a threat from Persia.

A large number of letters written on behalf of Akbar are preserved in the well-known collection of Awrangzīb's letters, the $\bar{A}d\bar{a}b$ -i ' $\bar{a}lamg\bar{\imath}r\bar{\imath}$ (see Bibl.).

Bibliography: Muḥammad Hāshim Khāfī Khān, Muntakhab al-lubāb, ii, Bibl. Ind., Calcutta 1860-74; 'Arḍ-dāṣht of Shāhzāda Muḥammad Akbar to the Emperor Awrangzīb, Royal Asiatic Society London, MS. No. 173; Ādāb-i 'ālamgīrī, numerous mss.; see V.J.A. Flynn, Ādāb-i 'ālamgīrī, an English translation..., Australian National Univ., Canberra Ph.D. thesis 1974, unpublished.

(M. ATHAR ALI)

AKHBĀR AL-ṢĪN WA 'L-HIND, the title by which are now designated two narratives concerning China and India which have, for various reasons, attracted the attention of Arabists.

Ms. 2281 of the B. N. contains amongst other things: 1. fols. 2a-23b, an untitled and anonymous text which constitutes the basis of the work; and II. fols. 24a-56a, a sequel to the preceding, of which the author is named as Abū Zayd al-Sīrāfī.

In 1718, the Abbé Renaudot published in Paris, under the title Anciennes relations des Indes et de la Chine, de deux voyageurs mahométans qui v allèrent dans le neuvième siècle, traduites d'arabe, avec des remarques sur les principaux endroits de ces relations, a version of I and II, which was in its turn translated into English and Italian; since he had supplied no precise information regarding the origin of the text, Renaudot was accused of committing a hoax, but the original (the actual ms. 2281, to which was added, as no. 2282, the copy made by the translator himself) was subsequently found in the Bibliothèque Royale and printed through the good offices of Langlès; it was, however, M. Reinaud who put it into circulation 34 years later, accompanied by a new annotated translation and an introduction, under the title Relations des voyages faits par les Arabes et les Persans dans l'Inde et Chine dans le IX^e siècle de l'ère chrétienne (Paris 1845, 2 vols.). In 1922 G. Ferrand produced a new translation, Voyage du marchand arabe Sulaymân en Inde et en Chine, rédigé en 851, suivi de remarques par Abû